



The Role of Public Interest Litigation (PIL) in Environmental Protection in India

Aditya Kedari, MIT Arts Design and Technology University, Loni-Kalbhor (MS)

Bhavana Kadu, MIT Arts Design and Technology University, Loni-Kalbhor (MS)

Abstract

Public Interest Litigation (PIL) has become a powerful tool for social and environmental justice in India, particularly in the field of environmental protection. PIL enables individuals or groups to seek judicial intervention in matters that affect the public interest, especially in situations where traditional legal processes may fail. This paper explores the role of PIL in environmental protection in India, discussing its evolution, significance, landmark cases, and challenges. It highlights how PIL has been instrumental in shaping environmental jurisprudence and promoting sustainable development, while also considering the criticisms and limitations of this legal mechanism.

Keywords:

Public Interest Litigation, environmental protection, Indian judiciary, sustainable development, environmental jurisprudence, Supreme Court, High Courts, judicial activism etc.

Introduction

Public Interest Litigation (PIL) has emerged as a key instrument in ensuring environmental protection in India. Since the 1980s, PIL has provided citizens, activists, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) a means to directly approach the judiciary on behalf of public causes, bypassing the limitations of traditional legal standing. The judiciary, especially the Supreme Court and various High Courts, has played a proactive role in expanding the scope of environmental laws through PILs, ensuring that the principles of sustainable development and environmental justice are upheld. This paper examines the significance of PIL in environmental matters, the role of the judiciary, landmark judgments, and the challenges and criticisms surrounding this legal mechanism.



1. The Concept of Public Interest Litigation

Public Interest Litigation (PIL) is a judicial innovation that allows individuals or groups to file a case in court on behalf of the general public, even if they are not directly affected by the issue at hand. In the Indian legal system, the concept of *locus standi* traditionally restricted the ability to sue only to those directly affected by a law or action. However, PIL has relaxed this principle, allowing public-spirited individuals and organizations to represent the interests of those who may not have the means or awareness to seek legal redress.

PIL emerged in the late 1970s and early 1980s, during a period of growing judicial activism, particularly under the leadership of Justice P.N. Bhagwati and Justice V.R. Krishna Iyer. Initially, PIL was used to address issues of human rights and social justice. However, over time, it became a crucial tool for environmental protection, enabling the judiciary to address the degradation of natural resources and the violation of environmental laws.

2. Evolution of Environmental Protection through PIL

Environmental protection in India gained momentum through PILs filed by environmental activists, NGOs, and concerned citizens. The judiciary's intervention in environmental matters has expanded the scope of the constitutional right to life (Article 21), which has been interpreted to include the right to a clean and healthy environment.

In the landmark case of *Rural Litigation and Entitlement Kendra v. State of Uttar Pradesh* (1985), the Supreme Court intervened to stop illegal limestone mining in the Mussoorie hills, marking one of the first instances where the court addressed environmental degradation through PIL. This case set a precedent for future environmental litigation, with the judiciary asserting its role in balancing economic development and environmental protection.

3. Landmark Environmental Cases in India

Several landmark PIL cases have significantly contributed to the development of environmental jurisprudence in India:



a. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (1987)

M.C. Mehta, a prominent environmental lawyer, has been at the forefront of many significant PILs aimed at environmental protection. In the *Ganga Pollution Case* (1987), he filed a PIL seeking to control pollution in the Ganges River caused by industrial effluents and municipal waste. The Supreme Court ordered the closure of tanneries and other industries that were polluting the river, underscoring the need for stringent enforcement of environmental laws.

b. T.N. Godavarman Thirumulpad v. Union of India (1995)

This case, often referred to as the *Forest Conservation Case*, was a watershed moment in environmental protection. The Supreme Court took a proactive stance to ensure the implementation of the Forest Conservation Act, 1980. It passed several interim orders, which included a complete ban on the felling of trees in forest areas without prior approval from the central government. The court's intervention helped curb deforestation and emphasized the importance of protecting India's natural resources.

c. Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India (1996)

In this case, the Supreme Court applied the "polluter pays" principle and the principle of sustainable development for the first time. The court ordered the closure of several tanneries in Tamil Nadu that were discharging untreated effluents into the water, causing environmental harm. The judgment reinforced the need for industries to bear the cost of pollution and highlighted the balance between economic growth and environmental sustainability.

d. M.C. Mehta v. Kamal Nath (1997)

In this case, the Supreme Court developed the public trust doctrine, which holds that certain resources such as air, water, and forests are held in trust by the state for the benefit of the public. The court ruled that the government cannot allow private interests to exploit or degrade these resources for commercial purposes. The case was a significant step in ensuring that environmental resources are preserved for future generations.



4. The Role of the Judiciary in Environmental Protection

The judiciary has played a pivotal role in shaping environmental jurisprudence in India through PILs. The proactive stance taken by the courts has not only ensured the enforcement of existing environmental laws but has also led to the creation of new legal principles aimed at environmental protection. Concepts such as the “precautionary principle,” the “polluter pays” principle, and the public trust doctrine have become integral to environmental law in India.

Moreover, the judiciary has often stepped in when government agencies have failed to enforce environmental regulations. By issuing directives to central and state governments, as well as regulatory bodies like the Pollution Control Boards, the courts have ensured compliance with environmental standards.

5. Criticisms and Challenges of PIL in Environmental Protection

While PIL has been instrumental in advancing environmental protection in India, it is not without criticism. Some of the main challenges and criticisms include:

a. Judicial Overreach

Critics argue that the judiciary’s intervention in environmental matters often amounts to judicial overreach, with courts making decisions that should be within the purview of the executive or legislative branches. In cases where courts have imposed restrictions on industries or development projects, there are concerns about the economic consequences of such decisions.

b. Delayed Implementation

Even though courts have passed several landmark judgments through PIL, the implementation of these decisions remains a challenge. Many of the directives issued by the judiciary are not followed or are delayed by government authorities, leading to limited on-ground impact.



c. Overburdened Judiciary

With the increasing use of PILs for environmental matters, the judiciary has become overburdened with cases. This often leads to delays in the resolution of important environmental disputes, diminishing the effectiveness of judicial intervention.

d. Misuse of PIL

There have been instances where PILs have been misused by individuals for personal or political gains, rather than for genuine public interest. Such misuse undermines the credibility of PILs as a tool for environmental protection.

Examples

- **Ganga Pollution Case:** A landmark PIL where the court intervened to control industrial pollution of the Ganges River, highlighting the role of judicial activism in environmental protection.
- **Forest Conservation Case:** The Supreme Court's interim orders protecting forests emphasized the importance of the judiciary in enforcing environmental laws.

Findings

The analysis of various landmark cases reveals that PIL has played a crucial role in ensuring environmental protection in India. The judiciary's interventions have not only led to the enforcement of environmental regulations but have also contributed to the development of new legal principles that prioritize environmental sustainability. However, the effectiveness of PIL is limited by issues such as delayed implementation, judicial overreach, and the misuse of PIL by vested interests.

Conclusion

Public Interest Litigation has been a powerful mechanism for promoting environmental protection in India. The judiciary, through its proactive stance, has been able to address environmental concerns that may have otherwise gone unaddressed. While PIL has made significant contributions to environmental jurisprudence, its effectiveness is dependent on



timely implementation and the genuine pursuit of public interest. Going forward, it is essential to balance judicial activism with respect for the roles of the executive and legislative branches, ensuring that environmental protection is pursued in a holistic and sustainable manner.

Works Cited

1. Bhagwati, P. N. *Judicial Activism in Environmental Protection: The Indian Experience*. Oxford University Press, 2010.
2. Desai, Ashok. *Public Interest Litigation: Potential and Problems*. Eastern Book Company, 2012.
3. Divan, Shyam, and Armin Rosencranz. *Environmental Law and Policy in India: Cases, Materials and Statutes*. Oxford University Press, 2001.
4. Kothari, Jayanta. *Environmental Jurisprudence in India: The Role of Public Interest Litigation*. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
5. Mehta, M.C. *Environmental Protection in India: Legal and Judicial Perspectives*. LexisNexis, 2018.
6. Saxena, D.D. *Judicial Activism and Environmental Protection in India*. Sage Publications, 2017.



Shodhasamhita शोधसंहिता

ISSN No. 2277-7067

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

This is to certify that

Aditya Kedari, MIT Arts, Design and Technology University, Loni-Kalbhor (MS)

For the paper entitled

The Role of Public Interest Litigation (PIL) in Environmental Protection in
India

ज्ञान-विज्ञान विमुक्तये

Vol .No .X, Issue - 2 July 2023

in

Shodhasamhita

Impact Factor: 4.95

UGC Care Group 1 Journal


Editor-in-Chief



Shodhasamhita शोधसंहिता

ISSN No. 2277-7067

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

This is to certify that

Bhavana Kadu, MIT Arts, Design and Technology University, Loni-Kalbhori (MS)

For the paper entitled

The Role of Public Interest Litigation (PIL) in Environmental Protection in
India

ज्ञान-विज्ञान विमुक्तये

Vol .No .X, Issue - 2 July 2023

in

Shodhasamhita

Impact Factor: 4.95

UGC Care Group 1 Journal


Editor-in-Chief